

The Economic Ascent of the Hotel Business

5

Second Edition

Paul Slattery

5	Critical Events and the Hotel Business: 1900–1945	50
	World War I	51
	Ideological shifts in Europe	51
	The Wall Street Crash and the Great Depression	53
	World War II	56
	Economic structure and hotel demand: US	58
	Economic structure and hotel supply: US	62
	Economic structure and hotel demand: Britain	69
	Economic structure and hotel supply: Britain	72
	Continental European hotel demand and supply	77



Published by Goodfellow Publishers Limited, Woodeaton, Oxford, OX3 9TJ

<http://www.goodfellowpublishers.com>

Copyright © Paul Slattery 2009, 2012

All rights reserved by Goodfellow Publishers Limited. The text of this publication, or any part thereof, may not be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, storage in an information retrieval system, or otherwise, without prior permission of the publisher.



Design and setting by P.K. McBride

5 Critical Events and the Hotel Business: 1900–1945

Introduction

The economies of the US and Europe and their hotel businesses were dominated in the first half of the 20th century by four critical events – World War I, ideological shifts in Europe, the Great Depression and World War II. The governance of the four critical events was different for each of the main economies as was the impact of the events on each economy and on its patterns of recovery. In turn, these critical events produced different impacts on hotel demand and hotel supply. At the start of the period the US hotel business was the largest and fastest growing in the world and it suffered the least severe downturns over the period, Britain suffered more severe downturns and the continental European hotel business, which started the century in the least developed state, endured the most severe downturns. In parallel, US hotel demand and supply recovered the soonest, developed the most and grew the fastest after each event and thus the economic ascent of its hotel business was greatest. British hotel supply took longer to recover and its growth over the period was flat, but its hotel demand declined sharply over the period and thus there was no economic ascent of its hotel business. In the cases of France and Germany, the most significant continental economies, their hotel demand and supply suffered sharp decline and as a result their hotel businesses regressed.

The rate of growth in population reinforced these trends. In 1900, the population of the US was 76 million. By 1945 it had nearly doubled to 140 million, boosted by significant immigration and the least loss of life among any of the major combatants in the two world wars. In contrast, the population of European countries was characterised by greater proportionate loss of life in wars and more emigration. Over the period, the British population grew from 38 million to 48 million. The German population grew from 56 million to 70 million, while in France the population fell by one million to 38 million. Thus, the US with an economy that was already the largest and fastest growing in the world had added boosts from fast-growing population and greater structural development of its economy, which was reflected in hotel demand growth and hotel supply growth over this traumatic period.

World War I

World War I was centred on the European continent, most of the battles were fought in Belgium, France and Germany and they suffered the greatest loss of life as well as the greatest degradation of land, property and transport infrastructure causing the suspension of their economic development. The impact on the British economy was less because it was not invaded and did not suffer the degradation of its land, property and transport infrastructure. When World War I was declared in 1914, conventional domestic business demand into hotels in the battling countries collapsed as military production was given priority over consumer production, holiday taking crumbled and new hotel construction was stopped. Battle damage reduced hotel supply in France, Germany and Belgium, but not in Britain or the US, and throughout Europe conventional hotel demand took longer to recover after the war was over. The impact of World War I on the US economy and its hotel business was less severe than it was on any of the other combatants, not least because the US was involved for only 19 months of the 55-month duration of the war. The US also had a lower proportion of its population engaged in the fighting and it endured lower loss of life than the other major combatants. Moreover, its territory was not invaded and it avoided degradation of its land and infrastructure. By staying out of the war until 1917, the US economy achieved three years of growth as did its hotel business when the European economies and hotel businesses were in shock. The US economic momentum did not cease when the war ended. Apart from a hiccup in 1920, US manufacturing continued to grow apace rising by almost 50% between 1913 and 1925, and the US share of world trade rose from 20% to 33% over the same period (Jay, 2004: 247). In contrast, during this period, output in Europe was flat as the European countries struggled in the postwar period to re-establish their civilian economies and their hotel businesses.

Ideological shifts in Europe

The suffering in the European economies during World War I was not their only problem. Politics in Europe was changing. It started with the simmering trend towards socialism, which asserted that the only effective way to eradicate poverty and social injustice was for elected governments to take control of the economies. Socialist ideology and big government went hand in hand. Both had grown in the latter decades of the 19th century and came into the mainstream of European politics with the formation of the British Labour Party. Left-wing political parties emerged in other European economies and were united in the promotion of state planning and control of economic activities. In 1918, the British Labour Party adopted clause IV of its constitution, which committed it to, ‘common ownership of the means of production... of each industry and service’ and this was not revoked until 1995 (<http://www.labour.org.uk>). The employment of the blue-collar working class who dominated the membership and support of left-wing political parties was predominantly in primary and secondary industries. Traditionally, service businesses and experience businesses required more wealth as the basis for consumption and reflected more of the lives of the white-collar middle class

and leisure class who were the bedrock of the right-wing political parties. This was the clear blue water between the left wing and right wing in European politics in the 20th century which was not paralleled in the US, where the distinction between the Democrats and the Republicans was much less explicit.

USSR and Communism

The most radical political development in Europe was the Russian Revolution in 1917 and the establishment of the USSR, the first communist state. In terms of economic structure, Communism involved state control of all of the means of production. It differed from democratic socialism in that it was a single party, non-democratic system. It introduced a difference in kind between the USSR on the one hand and the elected governments of western European economies on the other as far as control of primary and secondary economic activities were concerned. Similarly, service and experience businesses such as retailing, banking, hospitality and travel were controlled by the state in the USSR, but were market controlled in the other industrial economies. Communism also involved the state control of the provision and distribution of public services and in comparison with the other European economies the difference was less obvious since most of the public services, such as public education, public health and social services in the free market economies were state-controlled by elected governments.

The USSR was a poor agricultural economy when it was formed. Communism made a virtue out of the poor availability of consumer goods and promoted materialism as a capitalist evil. Its emphasis on commitment to the communist ideal as an alternative to material aspirations and consumption of discretionary services masked the lack of economic progress. Under Communism the capital markets, also controlled by the state, remained primitive and personal credit was absent. Consequently, no communist economy ever managed to progress to become a service business economy and several were unable even to make the transition to become a secondary economy.

Their economies were dominated by inefficient and narrowly based primary and secondary industries, the low yielding segments for domestic business demand into hotels. The governments controlled and severely restricted travel. Hotel based holidays were a discretionary benefit doled out by the communist parties to preferred members. The admission of foreign visitors was tightly constrained. All of the economic conditions and policies in place restricted the growth in hotel demand and supply and thus, in communist countries the hotel business ossified.

Fascism

The second political movement that took power in Europe at this time, Fascism also had dubious democratic credentials and included Mussolini's Italy, where he took power in 1922; Germany, where following a long period of hyperinflation and political instability, Hitler and the Nazi Party were elected in 1933; and Spain where the Revolution in the mid-1930s brought Franco to power. Like Communists, Fascists came to power with radical and populist promises to solve the economic